

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,893	09/17/2003	Bernd Schindler	PALL.087C1	9086
20995	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE M	IARTENS OLSON &	LIPMAN, BERNARD		
2040 MAIN S FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1713	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			JW		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/666,893	SCHINDLER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bernard Lipman	1713		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app. Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Expected for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.			
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
C	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	n of Claims		•		
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.				
•	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-42</u> is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
9) <u></u> ⊤ا	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	•		
10)[] TI	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Α	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•		
11)[_] Ti	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> A∂ a)_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).		
•	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No		
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage		
·	application from the International Bureau	, ,,			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
•			•		
Attachment(s	5)	•			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)		
	No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03&7/20/05.	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 28 October 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 19-42 are withdrawn from consideration.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourganel, U. S. Patent 3,855,122 in view of Scott et al, U. S. Patent 4,954,143 and Wang et al, U. S. Patent 6,258,272.

Reference to Bourganel teaches casting sulfonated polyaryletherketone membranes (columns 1-2). The membranes are taught to possess the claimed thickness (column 4). Scott et al teaches polyether sulfones that are both sulfonated and not sulfonated using concentrated sulfuric acid and cast into membranes. Wang et

Art Unit: 1713

al teaches rendering sulfonated membranes hydrophilic by incorporation of polyvinyl pyrrolidone (column 2). Motivation is provided, therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art to produce membranes of the claimed copolymers as taught by Bourganel and make them casting as taught by Scott et al and hydrophilic as taught by Wang et al, since the same sulfonated membranes with their intended use is a constant seen in all the references. Claimed membranes are, therefore, rendered prima facie obvious from the combined teachings of the references cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic General West

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1713

Page 3

BL/hs